arXiv:1602.06407v2 [cs.CG] 27 Oct 2016

A Reduction System for Optimal 1-Planar Graphs *

Franz J. Brandenburg

University of Passau, 94030 Passau, Germany
brandenb@fim.uni-passau.de

Abstract. There is a graph reduction system so that every optimal 1-planar graph can be reduced to an irreducible extended wheel graph, provided the reductions are applied such that the given graph class is preserved. A graph is optimal 1-planar if it can be drawn in the plane with at most one crossing per edge and is optimal if it has the maximum of $4n - 8$ edges.
We show that the reduction system is context-sensitive so that the preservation of the graph class can be granted by local conditions which can be tested in constant time. Every optimal 1-planar graph G can be reduced to every extended wheel graph whose size is in a range from the (second) smallest one to some upper bound that depends on G. There is a reduction to the smallest extended wheel graph if G is not 5-connected, but not conversely. The reduction system has side effects and is non-deterministic and non-confluent. Nevertheless, reductions can be computed in linear time.

1 Introduction

Coloring graphs is a classical graph problem. The 4-color problem for planar graphs was open for long and was solved by Appel et al. [1, 2] using a compute program. A simpler proof was given by Robertson et al. [25]. Ringel [24] studied the coloring problem of 1-planar graphs. A graph is 1-planar if it can be drawn in the plane with at most one crossing per edge. 1-planar graphs appear when a planar graph and its dual are drawn simultaneously. They are 6-colorable [8] and the bound is tight since K_6 is 1-planar.

Structural properties of 1-planar graphs were first studied by Bodendiek, Schumacher and Wagner [5, 6, 27]. They observed that 1-planar graphs with the maximum number of edges can be obtained from 3-connected planar quadrangulations by adding a pair of crossing edges in each quadrangular face. Consequently, 1-planar graphs with n vertices have at most $4n - 8$ edges. Bodendiek et al. [6] called 1-planar graphs with $4n-8$ edges *optimal* and proved that there are such graphs for $n = 8$ and for all $n \geq 10$, and not for $n \leq 7$ and $n = 9$.

The extended wheel graphs XW_{2k} play an important role for optimal 1-planar graphs. An *extended wheel graph* XW_{2k} for $k \geq 3$ consists of a circle

* Supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), grant Br835/18-1.

$C = (v_1, \ldots, v_{2k})$ of even length and two distinguished vertices p and q, called poles. There is an edge between the vertices at distance two on C. In addition, there is an edge between each pole and each vertex on C. Note that there is no edge between the poles. Hence, XW_{2k} has $2k+2$ vertices and $8k$ edges, see Fig. 1. The notation XW_{2k} is taken from Suzuki [28] and is related to Schumacher's [27] $2 * \hat{C}_{2k}$.



(a) The smallest extended wheel graph XW_6 drawn as a crossed cube. Any two non-adjacent vertices p and q of XW_6 can be taken as poles.

(b) The extended wheel graph XW_{10}

Fig. 1. Extended wheel graphs XW_6 and XW_{10}. The vertices of degree six are drawn as hexagons. Planar edges are black and thick and crossed edges are red and thin. If the poles p and q change places this swaps the type of the incident edges between planar and crossed. The picture is unchanged, whereas there is a new embedding.

Schumacher [27] investigated the structure of subgraphs of 5-connected optimal 1-planar graphs that are induced by the vertices of degree six. He showed that these subgraphs are forests of paths or 3-stars, except for extended wheel graphs, and provided the following characterization: a 5-connected optimal 1-planar graph is an extended wheel graph if and only if the subgraph induced by vertices of degree six is a cycle. This result is no longer valid if the precondition on 5-connected graphs is dropped. There are optimal 1-planar graph with separating 4-cycles and a cycle induced by vertices of degree six, such as the graphs in Figs. 2 and 5.

Schumacher [27] introduced a graph reduction with a single rule, whose augmented version is shown in Fig. 4 and is called SR. He proved that the extended wheel graphs are irreducible under SR and that every 5-connected optimal 1-planar graph can be reduced to an extended wheel graph and even to XW_8. However, SR must be used with care. A use must preserve the given class. This is stated in [27] and in [11] for the special case of 3-connected planar quadrangulations. It is not said, how to meet this condition. It may need a global test



Fig. 2. nested crossed cubes

for optimal 1-planarity or a 3-connected planar quadrangulation. A false use of SR to an optimal 1-planar graph may leave the class and, in particular, may destroy the 3-connectivity of the underlying planar subgraph and thereby violate the condition imposed by Brinkmann et al. [11] on planar quadrangulations. Moreover, there are uses of SR that preserve optimal 1-planarity but violate the 5-connectivity precondition and introduce a separating 4-cycle, as Example 1 shows. Then Schumacher's reduction system gets stuck. A subgraph with a 4-cycle of vertices of degree six as in Figs. 2 and 5 is inaccessible to SR. Hence, Schumacher's result must be read as follows: For every 5-connected optimal 1-planar graph G_1 there exist 5-connected optimal 1-planar graphs $G_1, \ldots, G_t$ for some $t \geq 1$ such that G_{i+1} is obtained from G_i by an SR-reduction for $i = 1, \ldots, t-1$ and G_t is an extended wheel graph. It is left open how $G_2, \ldots, G_t$ are computed.

If the precondition on 5-connected graphs is dropped, then a second reduction is necessary, which we call CR. CR is shown in Fig. 5 and is the inverse of the Q_4-cycle addition of Suzuki [28] and of the extension of the P_3-expansion of Brinkmann et al. [11] to 1-planar graphs. The graph transformation rules of Schumacher and Suzuki are defined on embeddings of 1-planar graphs and need the distinction between planar and crossed edges. We reverse direction and consider reductions. The reductions are *constraint* and can be used if the given class of graphs is preserved. Then the use is *feasible*. Fortunately, the feasibility can be checked locally and independent of an embedding, as we shall show. We use the terms "good" and "bad" so that a feasible reduction is applied to a good candidate. Reductions have *side effects* such that the application of a reduction to a good candidate may change the status of other vertices from candidate to non-candidate and of candidates from good to bad, and vice versa. This is illustrated by shapes and colors for the vertices in Fig. 6. In consequence, the reduction systems with the sets of rules $\{SR, CR\}$ and $\{SR\}$, respectively, are constraint, context-sensitive, and non-confluent. These terms have been studied in the theories of Formal Languages [20] and Rewriting Systems [3, 7].

In this paper, we generalize the results of Schumacher [27] to arbitrary optimal 1-planar graphs and first show how SR and CR can be applied feasibly. The

feasibility check and an application take only constant time. We thereby translate the general requirement of Schumacher [27] and Brinkmann et al. [11] on the preservation of the given class into an effective procedure. Then we establish that every reducible optimal 1-planar graph can be reduced to every extended wheel XW_{2k} in a range from s to t. Here, the upper bound t depends on the given graph G whereas the lower bound is $s = 3$ or $s = 4$, where $s = 3$ if G is not 5-connected. Some 5-connected optimal 1-planar graphs can also be reduced to XW_6. 5-connected optimal 1-planar graphs can be reduced using only SR and there are graphs which can be reduced using only by CR. The reduction system is non-deterministic and generally admits several reductions of a reducible optimal 1-planar graph to an irreducible extended wheel graph. Each such reduction can be computed linear time.

The paper is organized as follows: In the next Section we recall some basic properties of optimal 1-planar graphs. In Section 3 we introduce the reductions and show how to use them on graphs and derive a simple quadratic-time recognition algorithm. Combinatorial properties of the reduction system are explored in Section 4. We conclude with some open problems on 1-planar graphs in Section 5.

2 Preliminaries

We consider simple undirected graphs $G = (V, E)$ with sets of vertices V and edges E. The degree of a vertex is the number of incident edges or neighbors, and the *local degree* is the number of incident edges or neighbors when restricted to a particular induced subgraph.

A *drawing* of a graph is a mapping of G into the plane such that the vertices are mapped to distinct points and the edges to simple Jordan curves between the endpoints. It is *planar* if edges do not cross and *1-planar* if each edge is crossed at most once. A drawn graph defines an *embedding* $\mathcal{E}(G)$ which contains all edge crossings and faces. A drawing and an embedding are a witness for planarity and 1-planarity, respectively. For an algorithmic treatment, a planar embedding is given by a *rotation system*, which describes the cyclic ordering of the edges incident to each vertex, or by the sets of vertices, edges, and faces. A 1-planar embedding is given by an embedding of the planarization of G, which is obtained by taking the crossing points of edges as virtual vertices [16].

A 1-planar embedding partitions the edges into *planar* and *crossing* edges. We shall *color* the planar edges black and the crossing ones red. Other color schemes were used in [15–17, 19]. The *black* or *planar skeleton* $P(\mathcal{E}(G))$ consists of the black (planar) edges and inherits its embedding from the given 1-planar embedding. All crossing edges are removed from $P(\mathcal{E}(G))$. A vertex u is called a *black (red) neighbor* of v if the edge $\{u, v\}$ is black (red) in a 1-planar embedding. A *kite* is a 1-planar embedding of K_4 with a planar quadrilateral Q, and a pair of crossing edges inside Q and no other vertices inside Q. For example, there are 3 kites in the embedding of the left graph in Fig. 4. The other embedding of K_4 is as a tetrahedron, whose edges, however, may be crossed [9, 22].

Every 5-connected optimal 1-planar graph has a unique 1-planar embedding with the exception of the extended wheel graphs, which have two embeddings for graphs of size at least ten [27]. The different embeddings result from exchanging the poles. Suzuki [28] improved Schumacher's result and dropped the 5-connectivity precondition, which is a restriction, since optimal 1-planar graphs are 4-connected and not necessarily 5-connected. Note that a 1-planar graph is 6-connected if it is 5-connected [27]. All vertices of an optimal 1-planar graph have an even degree of at least six, and there are at least eight vertices of degree six, since in total there are $4n - 8$ edges. For convenience, we shall identify a 1-planar graph and its 1-planar embedding if the embedding is unique or clear from the context.

3 Reductions on Graphs

3.1 Previous Reductions

Brinkmann et al. [11] introduced two graph transformations for the generation and characterization of 3-connected planar quadrangulations. We wish to reduce graphs and consider the inverse relations.

Definition 1. *The* P_1-reduction *of a 3-connected planar quadrangulation consists of a contraction of a face* $f = (u, x, v, z)$ *at* x *and* z*, where* x *has degree* 3 *and* u, v, z *have degree at least* 3*. The* P_3-reduction *removes the vertices of the inner cycle of a planar cube, where the inner cycle is empty and vertices on the outer cycle have degree at least* 4*.*

The reductions must be applied such that they preserve the class of 3-connected planar quadrangulations.

A P_1-reduction is the restriction to the black (planar) edges in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 shows an augmented version. The P_3-reduction is displayed by the black edges in Fig. 5.

By the one-to-one correspondence between 3-connected planar quadrangulations and optimal 1-planar graphs [6], the P_1- and P_3-reductions are extended straightforwardly to embedded 1-planar graphs, called vertex and face contraction by Suzuki [28]. Their inverse are called Q_v-splitting and Q_4-cycle addition, respectively, and are used from right to left. The illustration in Fig. 3 is taken from [28].

By the uniqueness of embeddings of 3-connected planar quadrangulations and of optimal 1-planar graphs (except for extended wheel graphs) one can define the graph reductions on their embeddings. Thereby it is assumed that the embedding is given which is not clear for 1-planar graphs. Schumacher [27] defined his "$\hookrightarrow$"-relation on embeddings. Using the embedding in Fig. 4 he said that "x can be merged with x_4 if there is a quadrilateral of black edges and vertices x, x_3, x_4, x_5 so that all paths of length four from x to x_4 along black edges pass through x_3 or x_5". Note that each quadrangle in an extended wheel graph has a path of length four between opposite vertices (v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}) of a planar



Fig. 3. Face contraction or vertex splitting on 1-planar embeddings with planar (black and thick) and crossing (red and thin) edges

quadrangle through one of the poles, such that the condition on paths is violated. In consequence, the "$\hookrightarrow$"-relation is not applicable. Suzuki [28] observed that Schumacher's [27] "$\hookrightarrow$"-relation is the inverse of the Q_v-splitting, since it defines the P_1-reduction of Brinkmann et al. [11] on the planar skeleton. It is said that a reduction must preserve the given class, whereas it is not specified how this is achieved.

We summarize the previous results.

Proposition 1. *1. [27] For every 5-connected optimal 1-planar graph G (with $G \neq XW_6$) there exists a reduction of G to an wheel graph XW_{2k} for some $k \geq 4$ by using SR (or "$\hookrightarrow$") on 5-connected optimal 1-planar graphs. Every reducible 5-connected optimal 1-planar graph can be reduced to XW_8. The extended wheel graphs are irreducible (or minimum) elements under SR.*

2. [11] The class $\mathcal{Q}_3$ of all 3-connected planar quadrangulations is generated from the pseudo-double wheels by the $P_1(\mathcal{Q}_3)$ and $P_3(\mathcal{Q}_3)$-expansions. A pseudo-double wheel is the restriction of an extended wheel graph to the planar edges.

3. [28] Every optimal 1-planar graph can be obtained from an extended wheel graph by a sequence of Q_v-splittings and Q_4-cycle additions. The extended wheel graphs are irreducible under the inverse of Q_v-splitting and Q_4-cycle addition, i.e., under SR and CR.

Note that Schumacher restricted the $\hookrightarrow$-relation to 5-connected optimal 1-planar graphs graphs. It is presupposed that G' is 5-connected if G is 5-connected and $G \hookrightarrow G'$ holds. This presupposition is necessary, as Example 1 shows. There, $G \hookrightarrow G'$ for optimal 1-planar graphs G and G', where G is 5-connected and G' has a separating 4-cycle.

In full generality, graph reduction systems have been studied in the theory of graph grammars [12–14, 26]. A graph grammar or a graph replacement system consists of a finite set of graph transformations or rules. Each rule is a pair of graphs (L, R) and L is said to be replaced by R. A graph L occurs in G and L is said to *match* a subgraph H of G if there is a graph homomorphism between L and H, which is one-to-one on the vertices and edges but not necessarily onto for

the edges. Sometimes this is even relaxed. If x and y are two vertices of L with $x \neq y$ and x' and y' are their matched counterparts in H then $x' \neq y'$, and there is an edge $\{x', y'\}$ if there is an edge $\{x, y\}$. An application of (L, R) to a graph G replaces an occurrence of L by an occurrence of R while the remainder $G - L$ is preserved. It results in a graph G' which contains a subgraph H' matching R. There are edges between vertices of $G - L$ and R according to some conditions that are given with (L, R). In our case, the graphs L and R have a common outer frame and the edges between the frame and $G - L$ are kept.

Graph grammars generally operate on labeled graphs where the vertices and edges are labeled by symbols from an alphabet. This resembles context-free grammars on strings. The labels are used to distinguish vertices and edges of a graph and to regulate the application of a graph transformation. A graph grammar is used to generate a graph language, which is a set of labeled graphs. Typical (unlabeled) graph languages are the sets of binary trees, series-parallel graphs, or complete (bipartite) graphs.

3.2 Our Reductions

For our study we augment the relations of Schumacher and Suzuki to small graphs from which a part is removed and a part is kept as context. Thereby, the feasibility of their use on graphs can be expressed on the augmented graph. We make use of the uniqueness of 1-planar embeddings of optimal 1-planar graphs that are not extended wheel graphs and call such graphs *reducible*.

We reverse the expansions of Brinkmann et al. and Suzuki and call the augmented version *SR-reduction* (Schumacher reduction) and *CR-reduction* (crossed cube reduction), or just SR and CR, and the graphs of the left CS (crossed star) and CC (crossed cube), respectively. The SR-reduction augments the vertex splitting of Suzuki and includes the subgraph induced by the center x. The reductions are shown in Figs. 4 and 5 including a 1-planar embedding and an edge coloring. The tiny strokes at the outer vertices indicate further edges which are necessary. These vertices may have even more edges to outer vertices. The reductions should be applied to an optimal 1-planar graph. The reverse transformations (from right to left) are SR^{-1} and CR^{-1}, respectively.

Definition 2. *A CR-reduction consists of a crossed cube as left-hand side L and replaces it by a kite as right-hand side R, as shown in Fig. 5. Simply speaking the inner cycle is removed, and the outer 4-cycle is the context. Similarly, an SR-reduction consists of a graph CS with three kites that meet at a vertex x of degree six as L and it removes x and replaces CS by two adjacent kites, as shown in Fig. 4. The neighbors of x are the context.*

A graph G reduces to a graph G' if there is an induced subgraph H of G that matches L and an induced subgraph H' of G' that matches R so that $G' = G - L + R$. The context of L and R is kept. Let $G \to G'$ if G reduces to G' by SR- or CR, and let "$\to^*$ denote the reflexive and transitive closure.

Schumacher [27] and Brinkmann et al. [11] require that the use of a reduction preserves the given class. Thereby an application of a reduction is *constrained*.

An infeasible application destroys the 3-connectivity of the underlying planar skeleton of 1-planar embeddings or introduces multiple edges, which ultimately leads to a violation of 3-connectivity.

As our first result, we show that the feasibility of an SR- or CR-reduction can be expressed by local properties of the matched left hand side graphs and can be checked in constant time.

Definition 3. *A vertex x of an optimal 1-planar graph G of degree six is called a* candidate. *A candidate x is* "good" *if there is a feasible application of a reduction at x.*

In case of SR, x is the center of a subgraph $H(x)$ of G that matches CS, and there is a red neighbor v, called a target, *so that SR can be applied by merging x with v, denoted $SR(x \mapsto v)$. The application is* feasible *and $SR(x \mapsto v)$ is* good *if G does not contain any of the edges $\{x_2, x_4\}, \{x_6, x_4\}$ and $\{x_1, x_4\}$, where the vertices of $H(x)$ and CR are identified and $v = x_4$. Then x is drawn as a green hexagon and the reduction is indicated by an arrow.*

An edge $\{x_2, x_4\}$ or $\{x_6, x_4\}$ in $H(x)$ is called a blocking red edge *and $\{x_1, x_4\}$ is called a* blocking black edge. *Note that a candidate x has three red neighbors for a feasible SR reduction, namely x_2, x_4 and x_6.*

In case of CR there are four candidates x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 that are matched by the vertices of the inner cycle of CC, and these vertices and their neighbors match CC. We denote the use by $CR(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$. An application is feasible *if the edges $\{v_1, v_3\}$ and $\{v_2, v_4\}$ are missing in the matched subgraph of CC. Then the vertices matched by x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 are drawn as blue hexagons. If the subgraph matched by CC also contains an edge $\{v_1, v_3\}$ or $\{v_2, v_4\}$, then these edges are* blocking red edges *and $CR(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ is infeasible.*

Otherwise, a candidate is "bad" *and is drawn orange. Vertices of degree at least eight are non-candidates and are drawn as dark circles.*



Fig. 4. A SR-reduction for optimal 1-planar graphs. The candidate is drawn as a hexagon and other vertices as circles. Planar edges are drawn black and thick and crossing edges red and thin. A good candidate is colored green. There are three possible SR-reductions at x towards its red neighbors, $SR(x \mapsto x_2), SR(x \mapsto x_6)$ and $SR(x \mapsto x_4)$. Here, the latter is applied which is indicated by the arrow at x. The tiny strokes at the outside indicate further necessary edges. The left graph is CS together with its 1-planar embedding.



Fig. 5. The reduction $CR(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ for optimal 1-planar graphs with candidates x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4. The reduction is good and the candidates are colored light green if there is no edge (v_1, v_3) or (v_2, v_4) in the outer face. The graph on the left is CC together with its embedding.

An infeasible application of a reduction would introduce a multiple edge, which is illegal, since the graphs are simple. If there is a blocking black edge $\{x_1, x_4\}$ and $SR(x \mapsto x_4)$ were applied, then vertices x_1 and x_4 are a separation pair for the planar skeleton after the reduction, which violates the 3-connectivity of the planar skeleton as imposed by Brinkmann et al. [11]. Similarly, a blocking red edge $\{x_2, x_4\}$ and a use of $SR(x \mapsto x_4)$ implies that vertices x_2 and x_4 are a separation pair for the planar skeleton. The red blocking edge $\{x_2, x_4\}$ is crossed by some red edge $\{z_1, z_2\}$ such that there is a planar quadrangle with the vertices x_2, x_3, x_4, z_1 and x_3 is connected with z_1 inside the quadrangle. If $SR(x \mapsto x_4)$ were applied, then all planar paths from x_3 or z_1 to x_5 must pass x_2 or x_4. Forthcoming, we assume that the reduction is feasible if $G \to G'$ holds.

Surprisingly, an infeasibility is directly related to blocking edges and can be recognized by an inspection of the subgraphs matched by CS and CC, respectively.

Lemma 1. *If G is an optimal 1-planar graph and $G \to G'$ is feasible, then G' is an optimal 1-planar graph.*

Proof. We use the fact that optimal 1-planar graphs have a unique embedding (except for extended wheel graphs) [27,28] and identify a graph and its 1-planar embedding as well as the graphs of CS and CC and their matched subgraphs in G and G'. Clearly, the embedding of G' is 1-planar if the embedding of G is 1-planar. Also, G' has $4n' - 8$ edges, where n' is the size of G'.

It remains to show that the planar skeleton $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{E}(G'))$ remains 3-connected.

Suppose that $SR(x \mapsto x_4)$ is feasibly applied to a candidate x of G with neighbors $x_1, \ldots, x_6$ in circular order. First, there are three vertex disjoint paths from x_3 to x_5 in G' using only planar (black) edges, $p_1 = (x_3, x_4, x_5)$, $p_2 = (x_3, x_2, x_1, x_6, x_5)$ and a third path $p_3 = (x_3, z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{2r}, z_{2r+1}, x_5)$ for some $r \geq 1$, where $(x, x_3, z_1, \ldots, z_{2r+1}, x_5)$ are the neighbors of x_4 in G in circular order. Since the edges $\{x_1, x_4\}, \{x_2, x_4\}$ and $\{x_6, x_4\}$ are missing, the vertices $z_1, \ldots, z_{k+1}$ are distinct from x_1, x_2 and x_6, and therefore path p_3 is vertex

disjoint to p_1 and p_2. In retrospect, there are four vertex disjoint paths between x_3 and x_5 in G using only planar (black) edges.

Next, consider two vertices u and v with $\{u, v\} \neq \{x_3, x_5\}$ in G and G'. By assumption, there are three vertex disjoint paths between u and v in G through planar edges. Suppose that one of them, say p_2, passes through vertex x. Then p_2 passes through x_3 or x_5 or both. Otherwise, the same paths can be taken in G'. Suppose that p_2 passes through x_1, x and x_3. The other cases are similar. If x_2 is not passed by p_1 and p_3, then reroute p_2 through x_2. Otherwise, reroute p_2 through x_4, both in G and G'. If x_4 is on path p_3 (or p_1) it uses the edge $\{x_4, x_5\}$ and is rerouted as follows. Path p_3 and the third path between x_3 and x_5 meet at some vertex z_i. Then the segment $z_i, \ldots, x_4, x_5$ of p_3 is replaced by a detour through $z_i, \ldots, z_{2r+1}, x_5$. Hence, there are three vertex disjoint paths between u and v in G' using only planar edges, and $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{E}(G'))$ is 3-connected.

Similarly, if $CR(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ is feasible and $v_1, \ldots, v_4$ are the vertices of the outer cycle, then there are four vertex disjoint path through planar edges between v_i and v_j in G, namely two along the outer cycle, one through vertices of the inner cycle and a forth outside the subgraph matched by CC. If v_i and v_j are adjacent on the outer cycle, then there is an outer quadrangle (v_i, v_j, u, v) since there is no blocking red edge. Otherwise, if v_i and v_j are antipodal, then there is a path through planar edges $v_i, z_1, \ldots, z_{2r+1}, v_j$ where $v_i, z_1, \ldots, z_{2r+1}, v_j$ are the neighbors of v_k in circular order excluding the vertices of the inner cycle and v_k is between v_i and v_j on the outer cycle, since there is no edge $(v_k, v_{k+2}$. In consequence, there (at least) three vertex disjoint paths through planar edges between v_i and v_j in G'.

We have shown [9] that a feasible reduction uniquely determines the embedding of the matched graph of CS and CC and the feasibility is obtained from the degree vector.

Definition 4. *Let x be a candidate of a graph G and let $H(x)$ be the subgraph induced by x and its six neighbors. Let $\overrightarrow{H(x)} = (d_1, \ldots, d_7)$ be the lexicographically ordered 7-tuple of local degrees restricted to $H(x)$, and call $\tau(x) = d_1$ the* type *of x.*

For example, candidate x of CS in Fig. 4 has $\overrightarrow{H(x)} = (3, 3, 3, 5, 5, 5, 6)$ if there are no additional edges $\{x_i, x_j\}$ for $i \neq j$ in the outer face. A candidate x of the inner cycle of CC and of the cycle of an extended wheel graph XW_{2k} for $k \geq 4$ has $\overrightarrow{H(x)} = (4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6)$.

Lemma 2. *[9] A candidate x of an optimal 1-planar graph is good for SR if and only if $\tau(x) = 3$ and $SR(x \mapsto v)$ is good for every vertex v of local degree three.*

A candidate x_1 of an optimal 1-planar graph is good for CR if and only if $\tau(x_1) = 4$ and x_1 has three neighbors x_2, x_3, x_4 which are candidates and $\overrightarrow{H(x_i)} = (4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

The existence of a good candidate is granted unless all candidates are bad, as in an extended wheel graph, or if the graph is not optimal 1-planar.

Lemma 3. *If G is an optimal 1-planar graph, which is not an extended wheel graph, and C is a separating 4-cycle which partitions $G - C$ into G_{in} and G_{out}, then there is a good candidate in G_{in} (and in G_{out}).*

Proof. According to Brinkmann et al. [11] there is a good candidate x for their P_1- and P_3-reductions (or expansions) on 3-connected planar quadrangulations unless the graph is a double-wheel graph. In Lemma 4 they prove that a good candidate lies in the innermost (or outermost) separating 4-cycle. By the one-to-one correspondence between 3-connected planar quadrangulations and optimal 1-planar graphs, this transfers to optimal 1-planar graphs.

From Lemmas 1-3 we obtain a simple quadratic-time recognition algorithm for optimal 1-planar graphs, which searches the graph for a good candidate, checks the feasibility of a reduction, applies it, and thereby removes one or four vertices. Finally, it checks whether the obtained graph is an extended wheel graph. The search for a good candidate has been improved by some book-keeping technique such that there is an asymptotically optimal algorithm for the recognition of optimal 1-planar graphs.

Proposition 2. *[9] There is a linear-time recognition algorithm for optimal 1-planar graphs.*

Example 1. For an explanation of the reductions consider the input graph G_{16} as shown in Fig. 6(a) with a 1-planar embedding. The graph does not have a separating 4-cycle and thus is 5-connected and even 6-connected [27]. Initially, vertices d, e, h, i, j, n, o are good candidates for an SR-reduction, and the good reductions are indicated by a red arrow. In this example, a candidate is good for an SR-reduction if it has two black neighbors of degree at least eight. Vertices c and m are bad candidates, since at least two black neighbors have degree six and there are red blocking edges for $SR(x \mapsto v)$ and each red neighbor.

If we first apply $SR(h \mapsto i)$, then there is a separating 4-cycle (a, b, i, g) and c, d, e, f are good candidates for a CR-reduction, as shown in Fig. 6(b). Vertex l becomes a new good candidate and vertex i has degree 8 and is no longer a candidate. Figs. 6(c) and 6(d) show the reductions $SR(n \mapsto l)$ and $SR(k \mapsto j)$. Then g has only degree six and $\{a, i\}$ is a red blocking for CR. Therefore, vertices c, d, e, f change from good (green) to bad (orange). The reduction $SR(j \mapsto g)$ in Fig. 6(e) is like an undo for c, d, e, f , which are removed by $CR(c, d, e, f)$ and result in the minimum extended wheel graph XW_6.

An alternative reduction uses $SR(d \mapsto i), SR(n \mapsto l), SR(h \mapsto k), SR(g \mapsto j), SR(k \mapsto m)$, and finally $SR(l \mapsto f)$ (or $SR(j \mapsto o)$. Then all intermediate graphs are 5-connected and XW_8 is the final result.

Example 1 shows that the reductions have side effects. A reduction removes some candidate(s) and may introduce new ones. If an optimal 1-planar graph has several good candidates, then the use of a reduction may turn some good



(a) A 1-planar embedding of G_{16}. Good candidates are drawn as a hexagon and a good SR-reduction $SR(x \mapsto v)$ is indicated by an arrow. Bad candidates are colored orange. Non-candidates of degree at least 8 are drawn as circles.

candidates to bad ones, and vice versa. In Example 1, this effect is due to the alternation of the degree of some vertices. If $SR(x \mapsto x_4)$ is applied as in Fig. 4, then the degree of x_4 increases by two and may change x_4 from a candidate to a non-candidate. Bad candidates in the neighborhood of x_4 may turn from bad to good including four candidates for an application of CR. The degree of x_3 and x_5 decreases by two and they become a candidate if their degree changes from eight to six. Simultaneously, good candidates in their neighborhood from may turn to bad. Similarly, a CR-reduction decreases the degrees of the vertices on the outer cycle by two which become a candidate if their degree was eight before the CR-reduction.

Example 1 also shows that SR-reductions may destroy 5-connectivity which sheds new light on the results of Schumacher [27] as stated in Thm. 4.

4 Characterization

Next, we study combinatorial properties of the graph reduction systems with the sets of rules $\{SR, CR\}$ and $\{SR\}$. Unless otherwise stated, both rules can be used.

A *pre-extended wheel graph* G is an optimal 1-planar graph such that a single reduction results in an extended wheel graph. The respective set is denoted by $preXW$. In particular, a graph is a SXW_{2k} if $G \to XW_{2k}$ by an SR-reduction and a CXW_{2k} if $G \to XW_{2k}$ by a CR-reduction. In other words, $preXW = \cup_{k\geq 4}\{SR^{-1}(XW_{2k}) \cup CR^{-1}(XW_{2k})\} \cup \{CXW_6\}$. Here, SR^{-1} and CR^{-1} are the inverse of SR and CR, respectively. Pre-extended wheel graphs SXW_{2k} were used by Schumacher [27] for his reductions of 5-connected optimal 1-planar graphs to XW_8. Graph CXW_6 is shown in Fig. 6(e).



(b) Graph G_{16} after $SR(h \mapsto i)$



(c) and after $SR(n \mapsto l)$



(d) and after $SR(k \mapsto i)$.



(e) and after $SR(j \mapsto g)$.



(f) and XW_6 after $CR(c, d, e, f)$.

Fig. 6. A reduction of an input graph to XW_6.

Note that the minimum extended wheel graph XW_6 cannot be obtained from a pre-extended wheel graph by an SR-reduction, since all vertices of XW_6 have degree six and an SR-reduction introduces a vertex of degree at least eight. In addition, such a graph would have nine vertices, but there is no optimal 1-planar graph with nine vertices [6]. Hence, there is a CR-reduction if $G \to XW_6$.

Pre-extended wheel graphs are characterized as follows:

Lemma 4. *A pre-extended wheel graph CXW_{2k} is obtained from XW_{2k} by the extraction of a pair of crossing edges in a quadrilateral face with one pole and three vertices on the cycle of XW_{2k} and the insertion of CC. The vertices of the outer cycle of CC and the quadrilateral are identified.*

A graph SXW_{2k} is obtained from XW_{2k} by replacing a planar edge (p, v_j) and the crossing edges (p, v_{j-1}) and (p, v_{j+1}) between a pole p and three consecutive vertices v_{j-1}, v_j, v_{j+1} on the cycle of XW_{2k} by CS and identifying the sequence of vertices $(v_j, v_{j+1}, v_{j+2}, p, v_{j-2}, v_{j-1})$ with the vertices on the cycle of CS.

Proof. Suppose that $G \to XW_{2k}$ by a CR-reduction of an optimal 1-planar graph G. Then there are four good candidates x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 which together with their neighbors form CC. The outer cycle of CC is a separating 4-cycle, which can only be built from three consecutive vertices of the cycle and a pole of the extended wheel graph. Thus, none of the good candidates can be a vertex from the cycle of XW_{2p} for some $p \geq k$. Hence, there is a planar quadrilateral into which x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 are inserted to form CC. Clearly, the CR-reduction $G \to XW_{2k}$ is feasible.

If SR is applied to yield XW_{2k}, then $SR(x \mapsto p)$ must be applied at some candidate x and a pole p, since only a SR-reduction increases the degree of a vertex and this were illegal for any vertex on the cycle of XW_{2k}. Then there is a planar hexagon $(p, v_{j-2}, v_{j-1}, v_j, v_{j+1}, v_{j+2})$ in G into which a new vertex x is inserted to form SC. Clearly, the SR-reduction $G \to XW_{2k}$ is feasible.

A pre-extended wheel graph allows for different reductions: in a single step to an irreducible extended wheel graph and with two SR-reductions to the next smaller pre-extended wheel graph. We adopt the terms pole and vertices on a cycle from extended wheel graphs and the construction given in Lemma 4.

Lemma 5. *For every pre-extended wheel graph G, if $G = SXW_{2k}$ with $k \geq 5$, then $G \to XW_{2k}$ and $G \to^* SXW_{2k-2}$. If $G = CXW_{2k}$ with $k \geq 4$, then $G \to XW_{2k}$ and $G \to^* CXW_{2k-2}$.*

Proof. Clearly, there is an immediate reduction to an extended wheel graph. Alternatively, consider $G = SXW_{2k}$ with a good candidate x with neighbors $(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, p)$, where p is a pole and $v_1, \ldots, v_5$ are consecutive vertices on the cycle, as described in Lemma 4. Then also v_2 and v_4 are good candidates for a SR-reduction, since their planar neighbors v_1, q and v_5, q, respectively, have degree eight, and there is no blocking edge. Apply $SR(v_4 \mapsto v_6)$ with $(v_3, x, v_5, v_6, q, v_2)$ as cycle around v_4. This reduction increases the degree of v_6 to eight and introduces v_5 as a good candidate with neighbors $(x, v_1, p, v_7, v_6, v_3)$

if $k \geq 5$ and p still has degree at least eight. Then $SR(v_5 \mapsto v_7)$ is feasible, which results in SXW_{2k-2}. However, if $k = 4$, then v_1 and v_6 are the new poles of XW_8.

Similarly, consider CXW_{2k} where the inner cycle of CC is inserted into a quadrangle (p, v_4, v_5, v_6) with a pole p and three consecutive vertices v_4, v_5, v_6 on the cycle of CXW_{2k}, see Fig. 7. In other words, CXW_{2k} is obtained from XW_{2k} by CR^{-1} using (p, v_4, v_5, v_6) as outer cycle of CC. Then v_4, v_5, v_6 no longer are candidates, whereas the newly inserted vertices x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 are. Each of them is good for CR and is blocked for SR.

However, v_3 is a good candidate for $SR(v_3 \mapsto v_5)$ and, thereafter, v_4 is a good candidate for $SR(v_4 \mapsto v_2)$. The use of these reductions removes v_3 and v_4. Thereafter, using $CR(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ results in XW_{2k-2}.



(a) A CXW_{2k} with good candidates x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 for CR and v_3, v_7 for SR. Good candidates are drawn as a square.



(b) The graph after $SR(v_3 \mapsto v_5)$. If $k > 4$ and b has more neighbors, then v_7 is good.



(c) CXW_{2k-2} after $SR(v_2 \mapsto v_4)$.



(d) XW_{2k-2} after the CR-reduction.

Fig. 7. Reducing CXW_{2k} to XW_{2k-2} for $k \geq 4$ with poles p and q. The dangling edges at v_1, v_2 and v_8 are incident to the next vertices on the cycle.

The reductions $SR(v_3 \mapsto v_5)$ and $SR(v_4 \mapsto v_2)$ followed by a CR-reduction are shown in Fig. 7. By symmetry, $SR(v_7 \mapsto v_5)$ and $SR(v_6 \mapsto v_8)$ could be ap-

plied. If $k \geq 5$, then both reductions can be applied (sequentially or in parallel), which demonstrates the non-determinism of the reduction system.

Confluence is an important property of rewriting systems, and it is independent of the objects, i.e., whether they are strings, terms, polynomials [3,4,7], or graphs [18]. A rewriting system is *confluent* if $x \to^* u$ and $x \to^* v$ implies that there is a common descendant z with $u \to^* z$ and $y \to^* z$. In consequence, if two rules can be applied at different places of x starting two reductions, then the reductions join at a common descendant. In particular, if x reduces to an irreducible element y, then y is unique.

Example 1 and Lemma 5 show that reductions to different extended wheel graphs are possible, and extended wheel graphs are irreducible.

Corollary 1. *The reduction system with SR, CR (SR) is non-confluent on (5-connected) optimal 1-planar graphs.*

In Example 1, we have shown that the given graph G_{16} can be reduced to XW_8 and XW_6. Next, we show that every reducible optimal 1-planar graph G can be reduced to every extended wheel graph in a range from XW_{2s} to XW_{2t} where $s = 3$ if G is not 5-connected and $s = 3$ or $s = 4$ if G is 5-connected.

Theorem 1. *For every reducible optimal 1-planar graph G there is some upper bound t with $t \leq (2n + p + q - 4)/8$, where n is the size of G and p and q are the two largest degrees of the vertices of G and $s \in \{3, 4\}$, so that for all i and $s \leq i \leq t$ there is a reduction $G \to^* XW_{2i}$.*

Proof. There is a reduction $G \to^* P \to XW_{2u}$ for some u, where P is a pre-extended wheel graph. If $u > 4$, then $P \to P'$ for a pre-extended wheel graph P' with $|P'| = |P| - 2$ by Lemma 5. By induction, there is a reduction to a pre-extended wheel graph of any smaller size, which reduces to XW_8 and XW_6, respectively, if the pre-extended wheel graph of size 12 is SXW_8 and CXW_6, respectively. Therefore, we have $s \in \{3, 4\}$.

The upper bound on u is due to the fact that the poles of an extended wheel graph XW_{2k} have degree $2k$ and the degree of a vertex can only be increased by two by SR, which, however, removes one vertex. Hence, it takes at least $(2k - p)/2 + (2k - q)/2$ reductions to increase the degree of the two vertices with the highest degree to two poles of degree $2k$. Then, at most $n-(2k-p)/2+(2k-q)/2$ vertices remain, which is $2k + 2$ for the resulting XW_{2k}. Hence, it takes at least $j = (2n-p-q-4)/4$ SR-reductions to transform the two highest degree vertices of G into poles of degree $2k$, which results in an XW_{2k} with $k \leq (2n+p+q-4)/8$.

Corollary 2. *Every reducible optimal 1-planar graph can be reduced to XW_6 or XW_8.*

Corollary 3. *Every pre-extended wheel graph SXW_{2k} can be reduced to every extended wheel graph XW_{2i} with $i = 4, \ldots, 2k$ using only SR-reductions. Every pre-extended wheel graph CXW_{2k} can be reduced to every extended wheel graph XW_{2i} with $i = 3, \ldots, 2k$.*

Schumacher [27] proved that for every 5-connected optimal 1-planar graph G there exists a reduction to an extended wheel graph, even to XW_8, using only SR-reductions. Corollary 2 extend this result to all optimal 1-planar graphs. There exists a good candidate for SR in the interior of G if G has no separating 4-cycle and G is reducible, as proved in Lemma 4 of [11]. In consequence, if an optimal 1-planar graph G has separating 4-cycles and partitions into G_{in} and G_{out}, such that C is an innermost (or outermost) separating 4-cycle, then $G_{in} + C$ is 5-connected and there exists a good candidate for an SR-reduction in G_{in}. The candidate is not on the 4-cycle C. Recall that a completion of $G_{in} + C$ and $G_{out} + C$ adds the diagonals of the separating 4-cycle and we obtain $G_{in} + C = XW_6$ if G_{in} has only four vertices.

On the other hand, the four candidates on the inner cycle of CC mutually block each other for a SR-reduction. There are no means to raise the blockade by an SR-reduction. Only CR can do. As an extension thereof, if C is an innermost (outermost) separating 4-cycle of an optimal 1-planar graph G, then the vertices of C are "frozen" for SR-reductions and remain if $G_{in} + C$ is reduced to an extended wheel graph, say XW_8. However, further reductions are possible after a recombination of the results. This leads to the following facts:

Theorem 2. *If a reducible optimal 1-planar graph has a separating* 4*-cycle, then there is a reduction to* XW_6*.*

Proof. Let $C = (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$ be an innermost separating 4-cycle such that $G-C$ is partitioned into G_{in} and G_{out}. Then there is a good candidate x for SR in $G_{in} + C$ and x is not on C, as proved in [11], Lemma 4. Hence, there is an SR-reduction of $G_{in} + C$ to XW_8 preserving C. Exactly one pole of XW_8 is in C. If all vertices of C were candidates, then XW_8 cannot be realized. Both poles cannot be in C, since the poles are not connected by an edge whereas the subgraph induced by the vertices of C is K_4, since a pair of crossing edges is added in the outer face.

Consider graph H obtained from G by replacing G_{in} by XW_8. Then $G \to^* H$ where the SR-reduction steps of $G_{in} + C \to^* XW_8$ are applied. Now, we can remove G_{in} from H by two SR-reductions and a final CR-reduction. The vertices on C have degree at least eight, since they have two black neighbors on C and at least one black neighbor in G_{out} and XW_8 obtained from G_{in}, and there exists a candidate for SR in the interior of C according to [11].

So we proceed towards the outermost separating 4-cycle C_{out} and reduce the inner and the outer components H_{in} and H_{out} to XW_8 preserving C_{out}. The poles of XW_8 from the inner and outer components on C_{out} may or may not coincide. Reduce the inner subgraph of C_{out} by two SR-reductions to a single 4-cycle which together with C_{out} forms CC, and similarly for the outer subgraph. A final CR-reduction to the inner 4-cycle yields XW_6.

Next, consider the "nested extended quadrangles" in Fig. 2, which are optimal 1-planar graphs and can be reduced to XW_6 by CR-reductions. The vertices on the innermost and outermost cycles have degree six and thus are candidates, whereas the other vertices have degree eight. However, the candidates have type

4 and an SR-reduction is infeasible whereas CR can be applied. This property remains if a CR-reduction is used and, thereby, there is a unique reduction to XW_6. In consequence, we obtain:

Theorem 3. *There is an infinitely many optimal 1-planar graphs G such that a reduction of G to an extended wheel graph XW_{2k} implies $k = 3$ and only CR-reductions can be applied.*

The nested extended quadrangles are inaccessible to SR-reductions, since the candidates pairwise block each other by red blocking edges. In Example 1 we have shown that SR-reductions may introduce a separating 4-cycle and destroy 5-connectivity. For Schumacher's reduction system we obtain:

Theorem 4. *Suppose that only SR-reductions are used.*

1. *For every 5-connected optimal 1-planar graph G there exists a reduction to an extended wheel graph, and even to XW_8.*
2. *There are 5-connected optimal 1-planar graphs G that are reduced to optimal 1-planar graphs with separating 4-cycles.*
3. *If G has a separating 4-cycle and $G \to G'$ by an SR-reduction, then G' has a separating 4-cycle.*

Proof. The first statement has been proved by Schumacher [27]. Statement (2) is shown by Example 1. Finally, suppose there is a separating 4-cycle $C = (a, b, c, d)$ in G. For a removal, an SR-reduction must be applied to a vertex of C. Suppose that a is a candidate with neighbors b, v, d, w_1, w_2, w_3 and $SR(a \mapsto v)$. Thereafter, $C' = (w_2, b, c, d)$ is a separating 4-cycle.

In consequence, the following properties hold for Schumacher's reduction:

Corollary 4. *(1) The 5-connected optimal 1-planar graphs are not closed under SR-reductions.*
(2) If G is an optimal 1-planar graph G with a separating 4-cycle and H is obtained from G by using only SR-reductions, then H is not an extended wheel graph.

Hence, the extended wheel graphs are not the set of irreducible optimal 1-planar graphs under SR-reductions. Schumacher's presupposition [27] and restriction of SR-reductions to 5-connected optimal 1-planar graphs is necessary. Reductions towards an extended wheel graph using only SR get stuck if there is a 4-cycle.

The extended wheel graphs constitute an infinite set of irreducible graphs for SR and CR, however, the optimal 1-planar graphs constitute a single equivalence class with XW_6 as a representative, even if the equivalence relation is defined only by SR. Let $G_1 \sim G_2$ if and only if G_1 can be transformed into G_2 by a sequence of feasible applications of SR, SR^{-1}, CR and CR^{-1}, respectively. Here, $G \to G'$ by SR^{-1} is feasible if $G' \to G$ by SR is feasible, and similarly for CR^{-1}. Recall that the inverse reductions are the Q_v-splitting and the Q_4-cycle addition of Suzuki [28].

Theorem 5. *A graph G is optimal 1-planar if and only if G is equivalent to the minimum extended wheel graph XW_6, where the equivalence relation is defined by feasible applications of SR, CR, and their inverse.*

Proof. First, reduce G to a small XW_{2k} using SR and CR-reductions, where $k = 3, 4$. If $k \geq 4$ then expand XW_{2k} to a pre-extended wheel graph CXW_{2k}, which is then reduced to XW_6 according to Corollary 2.

Although SR-reductions may get stuck on 4-connected graphs, they can cope with them under equivalence.

Theorem 6. *A graph G is optimal 1-planar if and only if $G = XW_6$ or G is SR-equivalent to XW_8, where the SR-equivalence relation is defined by feasible applications of SR and SR^{-1}.*

Proof. The "if" direction follows from the fact that feasible applications of SR and SR^{-1} preserve optimal 1-planar graphs. For the "only if" direction, first resolve all separating 4-cycles by an SR^{-1}-reduction as in Example 1. For $C = (a, b, c, d)$ consider the planar quadrangles defined by a, b, u_1, u_2 and a, b, v_1, v_2. Then SR^{-1} introduce a center x with neighbors a, u_1, u_2, b, v_2, v_1 in circular order and $SR(x \mapsto a)$ or $SR(x \mapsto b)$ is feasible. Thereafter, there is a 5-connected optimal 1-planar graph H that can be reduced to XW_8 by SR-reductions.

Corollary 5. *The equivalence problem $G_1 \sim G_2$ can be solved in linear time. In addition, if $G_1 \sim G_2$ then a transformation of G_1 into G_2 can be computed in linear time.*

Proof. Two graphs are equivalent if and only if both are optimal 1-planar which can be solved in linear time [9]. For the transformation, an extended wheel graph is first transformed into a pre-extended wheel graph CXW_{2k} using CR^{-1}. Thereafter, we use Lemma 5 and transform both graphs into XW_8 and then concatenate the transformations taking the inverse of the rules from the reduction of G_2.

5 Conclusion and Perspectives

We have shown that the required feasible use of SR and CR reductions can be expressed by local conditions on the context of the removed vertices. The reduction system with the rules SR and CR is context-sensitive, non-deterministic and non-confluent, but, nevertheless, reductions can be computed in linear time [9]. Moreover, every reducible optimal 1-planar graph can be reduced to any irreducible extended wheel graph XW_{2k} in a range from $s \leq k \leq t$, where $s = 3$ or $s = 4$ and t depends on the given graph. Our results generalize similar ones of Schumacher [27] who used only SR-reductions that are restricted to 5-connected optimal 1-planar graphs, but SR reductions do preserve this class.

It would be interesting to see whether similar results hold for other classes of optimal graphs such as optimal k-planar graphs, which allow up to k crossings

per edge [23], or optimal IC planar graphs, which are the restriction of optimal 1-planar graphs to independent crossings where each vertex is incident to at most one crossing edge [10, 21] and have the maximum of $13/4\,n - 6$ edges.

References

1. Appel, K., Haken, W.: Every planar map is four colorable. part i. discharging. Illinois J. Math. 21, 429–490 (1977)
2. Appel, K., Haken, W., Koch, J.: Every planar map is four colorable. part ii. reducibility. Illinois J. Math. 21, 491–567 (1977)
3. Baader, F., Nipkow, T.: Term Rewriting and All That. Cambridge University Press (1998)
4. Becker, T., Weispfenning, V.: Gröbner bases: a computational approach to commutative algebra. Graduate Texts in Mathematics: Readings in Mathematics, Vol. 141, Springer (1993)
5. Bodendiek, R., Schumacher, H., Wagner, K.: Bemerkungen zu einem Sechsfarbenproblem von G. Ringel. Abh. aus dem Math. Seminar der Univ. Hamburg 53, 41–52 (1983)
6. Bodendiek, R., Schumacher, H., Wagner, K.: Über 1-optimale Graphen. Mathematische Nachrichten 117, 323–339 (1984)
7. Book, R.V., Otto, F.: String-Rewriting Systems. Texts and Monographs in Computer Science, Springer (1993)
8. Borodin, O.V.: A new proof of the 6 color theorem. J. Graph Theor. 19(4), 507–521 (1995)
9. Brandenburg, F.J.: Recognizing optimal 1-planar graphs in linear time. Algorithmica (published on-line Oct 13, 2016) (2016)
10. Brandenburg, F.J., Didimo, W., Evans, W.S., Kindermann, P., Liotta, G., Montecchianti, F.: Recognizing and drawing IC-planar graphs. Theor. Comput. Sci. 636, 1–16 (2016)
11. Brinkmann, G., Greenberg, S., Greenhill, C., McKay, B.D., Thomas, R., Wollan, P.: Generation of simple quadrangulations of the sphere. Discrete Math. 305, 33–54 (2005)
12. Corradini, A., Montanari, U., Rossi, F., Ehrig, H., Heckel, R., Löwe, M.: Algebraic approaches to graph transformations. In: Rozenberg, G. (ed.) Handbook of Graph Grammars and Computing by Graph Transformation. pp. 163–245. World Scientific (1997)
13. Courcelle, B.: Graph rewriting: An algebraic and logic approach. In: Handbook of Theoretical Computer Science, Volume B: Formal Models and Sematics (B), pp. 193–242. Elsevier (1990)
14. Courcelle, B., Engelfriet, J.: Graph Structure and Monadic Second-Order Logic - A Language-Theoretic Approach, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 138. Cambridge University Press (2012)
15. Didimo, W.: Density of straight-line 1-planar graph drawings. Inform. Process. Lett. 113(7), 236–240 (2013)
16. Eades, P., Hong, S.H., Katoh, N., Liotta, G., Schweitzer, P., Suzuki, Y.: A linear time algorithm for testing maximal 1-planarity of graphs with a rotation system. Theor. Comput. Sci. 513, 65–76 (2013)
17. Eades, P., Liotta, G.: Right angle crossing graphs and 1-planarity. Discrete Applied Mathematics 161(7-8), 961–969 (2013)

18. Engelfriet, J.: Context-free graph grammars. In: Rozenberg, G., Samolaa, A. (eds.) Handbook of Formal Languages, Vol. 3, Beyond Words. pp. 125–213. Springer (1997)
19. Hong, S.H., Eades, P., Liotta, G., Poon, S.H.: Fáry's theorem for 1-planar graphs. In: Gudmundsson, J., Mestre, J., Viglas, T. (eds.) COCOON 2012. LNCS, vol. 7434, pp. 335–346. Springer (2012)
20. Hopcroft, J.E., Motwani, R., Ullman, J.D.: Introduction to automata theory, languages, and computation - international edition (2. ed). Addison-Wesley (2003)
21. Král, D., Stacho, L.: Coloring plane graphs with independent crossings. Journal of Graph Theory 64(3), 184–205 (2010)
22. Kyncl, J.: Enumeration of simple complete topological graphs. Eur. J. Comb. 30(7), 1676–1685 (2009)
23. Pach, J., Tóth, G.: Graphs drawn with a few crossings per edge. Combinatorica 17, 427–439 (1997)
24. Ringel, G.: Ein Sechsfarbenproblem auf der Kugel. Abh. aus dem Math. Seminar der Univ. Hamburg 29, 107–117 (1965)
25. Robertson, N., Sanders, D.P., Seymour, P.D., Thomas, R.: The four-colour theorem. J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B 70(1), 2–44 (1997)
26. Rozenberg, G.: Handbook of Graph Grammars and Computing by Graph Transformation. World Scientific, Singapore (1997)
27. Schumacher, H.: Zur Struktur 1-planarer Graphen. Mathematische Nachrichten 125, 291–300 (1986)
28. Suzuki, Y.: Re-embeddings of maximum 1-planar graphs. SIAM J. Discr. Math. 24(4), 1527–1540 (2010)